# The Predictability of Data Values

Yiannakis Sazeides and James E. Smith Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Wisconsin-Madison

yanos@ece.wisc.edu, jes@ece.wisc.edu

Micro-30, December 2, 1997

- Use Prediction to overcome Dependences
- A variety of program information can be predicted (branches, addresses, data values, dependences)
   Branch prediction receives most attention
   Also important to predict *Data Values*
- Is it possible? Large range of values not 0/1 Values exhibit "locality" (Lipasti AsplosVII)
- This talk: *Data Value Predictability* Framework for studying value prediction
  Simulation results, idealized study

#### Motivation



• Value space is very sparse. Predictable?

• Informal Classification of Value Sequences:

Constant (C)	5 5 5 5 5 5 5
Stride (S)	12345678
Non-Stride (NS)	28 -13 -99 107 23 456

- Important sequences are formed by composing stride and non-stride sequences:
   Repeated Stride (RS) 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 ...
   Repeated Non-Stride (RNS) 1 -13 9 17 1 -13 9 17 ...
- Two types of prediction models:
  - **Computational predictors** make a prediction by performing a computation on previous values
  - **Context based predictors** learn the value(s) that follow a particular *context* and predict one of the values when the same context repeats



- Last Value Predictors if previous value is v then prediction is v
- Stride Predictors if  $\mathbf{v}_{n-1}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{n-2}$  are the two most recent values, then the predictor computes  $\mathbf{v}_{n-1} + (\mathbf{v}_{n-1} \mathbf{v}_{n-2})$
- **Replacement hysteresis** Saturating counters, 2-delta



• Finite Context Method Predictors (fcm) predict the next value based on a finite number of preceding values

# • An order k fcm predictor uses k preceding values

Sequence: a a b c a a a b c a a a ?



• The combination of more than one prediction model is known as *blending* 

## Analysis of Predictors



- Computation learns faster
- Context learns *better*

- Idealized Performance Study
- Three value predictors are considered
  - Last Value, (Lipasti ASPLOS VII)
  - Stride 2-delta, (Eickemeyer IBM R&D, 7/93)
  - Fcm order 1, 2 and 3
- Fcm predictor uses full concatenation of history values and blending
- Predictors accessed based on PC only
- No table aliasing
- Trace driven simulation SPECINT95

# Predictability



- Last Value < Stride < FCM
- Few previous values sufficient to predict well
- Fcm improves accuracy with increasing order however diminishing returns

# Predictability, cntd



- Computational prediction varies significantly among instruction types of the same benchmark
- Fcm performance varies less ability to capture any repeating sequence
- Stride does very well for add/subtract predictor matches operation of predicted instruction.
   Generalize such an approach?

# Correlation of Predicted Sets



- A small number, close to 18%, of values are not predicted correctly by any predictor
- A significant fraction, over 20%, of correct predictions is only captured by fcm
- A large portion, around 40%, of correct predictions is captured by all predictors



% of Static Instructions that FCM does better than Stride

- About 10% of the static instructions account for about 90% of the total improvement
- A hybrid fcm-stride predictor with choosing may be a good approach.
- Different types of instructions have similar behavior

#### Value Characteristics



- A large number, ≥50%, of static instructions generate only one value
- The majority, ≥50%, of dynamic instructions correspond to static instructions that generate fewer than 64 values

## • Input Data

File	Predictions (mil)	Correct (%)
jump.i	106	76.5
emit-rtl.i	114	76.0
gcc.i	137	77.1
recog.i	192	78.6
stmt.i	372	77.8

- Small variation across the different input files unbounded tables not affected by different data set
- Input Flags

Flags	Predictions (mil)	Correct (%)
none	31	78.6
-01	76	75.3
-02	121	76.9
ref flags	137	77.1

• Small variation across the different compilation flags

## Sensitivity on the Order



• Inreasing order translates to better accuracy – returns diminish with increasing order (large granularity of values)

- Data values are highly predictable
- Context based prediction outperforms previously proposed computational predictors
- Context based prediction needs to be used for high prediction accuracy alone or in hybrid
- Few static instructions that generate relatively few values are responsible for the majority of improvement of Fcm over Stride prediction
- Instructions in general do not generate many unique values

- Fundamental questions
  - How predictable are data values?
  - Why are instructions predictable?
  - What is the behavior of predictability in programs?
  - How can predictability be exploited?
- Predictor Implementation Issues
  - Value predictor organizations
  - Choice of context
  - Efficient hash functions
  - Confidence mechanisms
  - Timing issues
  - Bandwidth considerations
- Software