## On Ptak's derivation of the Jordan normal form

Carl de Boor Department of Computer Sciences, University of Wisconsin-Madison 1210 W. Dayton St., Madison WI 53706

Some readers of [1] might appreciate the following comments that make more explicit how Ptak's beautiful insight there leads to a trivial proof of (the basics of) the Jordan normal form.

The proof of Theorem 1 of [1] can also be based on the observation that, X being finite-dimensional, the sequence  $\{0\} \subseteq \ker A \subseteq \ker A^2 \subseteq \cdots$ , must eventually be stationary, i.e.,  $\ker A^q = \ker A^{q+p}$  for some q and all p > 0. For such q, let  $X_r$  and  $X_s$  be the range and the kernel, respectively, of  $A^q$ , hence dim  $X = \dim X_r + \dim X_s$ . Further, for any  $x \in X_r \cap X_s$ ,  $x = A^q z$  for some z, and so  $z \in \ker A^{2q} = \ker A^q$ , hence x = 0. Therefore, X is the direct sum of the two A-invariant subspaces  $X_s$  and  $X_r$ , and A is regular on  $X_r$ (since  $A^q$  is) and is nilpotent on  $X_s$ .

In the setup and notation of Theorem 2 of [1], there must be, by duality, some  $y_0$  in Y for which  $\langle x_0 A^{q-1}, y_0 \rangle \neq 0$ , hence the q-order matrix  $(\langle x_0 A^{j-1}, y_0 A^{*q-i} \rangle : i, j = 1, ..., q)$  is triangular with nonzero diagonal entries, therefore invertible, and this guarantees that X is the direct sum  $X_0 + X'$ , with  $X_0$  the linear span of  $(x_0 A^{j-1} : j = 1, ..., q)$  and X' the annihilator of  $\{y_0 A^{*q-i} : i = 1, ..., q\}$ , both of which are A-invariant. Moreover, it shows  $(x_0 A^{j-1} : j = 1, ..., q)$  to be a basis for  $X_0$ , and the matrix representation, with respect to this basis, of A restricted to  $X_0$  has the familiar form of a Jordan block (for the eigenvalue 0).

Now, X being finite-dimensional, there are A-invariant direct sum decompositions  $X = X_1 + \cdots + X_m$  that are minimal in the sense that none of its summands is the direct sum of two nontrivial A-invariant subspaces. Take any one such. Then the matrix representation for A with respect to any basis made up from bases for the summands  $X_i$  is block diagonal, with the *i*th block the matrix representation of the restriction  $A_i$  of A to  $X_i$  with respect to the chosen basis for  $X_i$ .

Assuming the underlying field to be algebraically closed, the restriction  $A_i$  of A to  $X_i$  has some eigenvalue,  $\lambda_i$ , and, in view of the minimality of  $X_i$ , Theorem 1 ensures that  $B_i := A_i - \lambda_i$  is nilpotent, while Theorem 2 then ensures that, for some  $x \in X_i$  and some q,  $(xB_i^{j-1}: j = 1, \ldots, q)$  is a basis for  $X_i$ , and the matrix representation of  $A_i$  with respect to that basis is a Jordan block with  $\lambda_i$  as its diagonal element.

Theorems 1 and 2 of [1] don't seem to assist in the proof that the Jordan normal form is unique (up to reordering of the blocks), although such uniqueness is readily established by the observation that

$$n_j := \dim \ker(A - \lambda)^j = \sum_{\lambda_i = \lambda} \min(\dim X_i, j),$$

hence  $\Delta n_j := n_{j+1} - n_j$  equals the number of blocks for  $\lambda$  of order > j, giving the decomposition-independent number  $-\Delta^2 n_{j-1}$  for the number of Jordan blocks for  $\lambda$  of order j.

[1] V. Ptak, A remark on the Jordan normal form of matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. (this issue, i.e., vol. 310, 2000, xxx–xxx)