## Doing a $\mathrm{TEXjob}^{\mathrm{E}}$

$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ is not a word processor. Rather, you use your favorite word processor or file editor to prepare files of instructions for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$. By and large, it doesn't matter what word processor you use. Since braces turn out to be important in $T_{E} X$, you are better off using a file editor like vi that will allow you to check that every closing brace (or parenthesis or bracket) matches the opening brace (or parenthesis or bracket) you want it to match. It is also important that the word processor leave no funny control characters in the file, as these might confuse $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$.

How such an instruction file (traditionally carrying the suffix .tex) is converted into pages of beautiful print need not concern you (though you will come to admire the cleverness that must have gone into the process). But you have to find out how, on your computer system, you submit that instruction file to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$. Usually, a command like tex myfile suffices if myfile.tex is the file containing the instructions. Your system should also allow you to have the file worked on by $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ without any final printing, since that is the way to find out mistakes.

## ordinary text

You type ordinary text as you would in any word processor, except that there are fewer things to pay attention to.
(1) As you can see, it doesn't matter how many spaces you leave between words or after punctuation. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ will choose an even and optimal spacing and leave the right number of spaces after punctuation.
(2) As you can see, it doesn't matter where you break lines. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ will choose optimal line breaks (if that is possible; it will let you know when it cannot; actually, I tried hard to force such a situation in the next item without trickery).
(3) In particular, you (usually) need not pay attention to hyphenation. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ is completely familiar with all the rules and applies them automatically, if need be. (If you are eager to supply a particular hyphenation, indicate the place by typing $\backslash$ - there; e.g., surg $\backslash-e \backslash-r y$.
You indicate the end of a paragraph by leaving a blank line (or two or three if you want to be generous; again, it doesn't matter how many you leave).

You can also indicate the beginning of a new paragraph by typing \par.

## Special characters

You type all characters great and small on your keyboard, except that some (altogether ten) non-letters have special meaning for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ and therefore must be typed in a special way if they are actually to appear in the printed text. Here is the list of these special characters:
$\backslash\left\} \& \|^{\wedge}-\%{ }^{\sim}\right.$
You notice that you get most of these symbols to print by typing first the backslash $\backslash$. For some, this will work only if this is (part of a text) enclosed in dollar signs (of which much more later). The backslash itself is so important that $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ requires you to type out the word backslash after a $\backslash$ (and encase the whole thing in dollar signs) if you want to have the backslash printed.

The backslash serves as an escape character, i.e., as a message to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ that what immediately follows the backslash is to be taken as a command (rather than as text to be typeset).

In particular, you get all the symbols and signs not on your keyboard with the aid of such commands. See Sam Bent's $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ Reference Card in the Appendix for a complete, ordered listing.

## odds and ends

$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ will automatically leave more space after a period at the end of a sentence than between words in a sentence. But since $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ has no way of understanding what you write, it has to make a guess at what is 'a period at the end of a sentence'. It guesses that it is any period followed by one or more blanks as long as it is not preceded by a capital letter, as in D. E. Knuth. Note that $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ provides an ordinary interword space after each of the two initials, and a larger, intersentence, space after the final period.

This rule of thumb causes difficulty when a sentence ends in a capital letter, such as this ONE. See? You can overcome it by inserting the do nothing command \null just prior to the PERIOD. There. That does it.

This rule of thumb also causes difficulty with abbreviations, such as refs. to comp.lit. or phys.ed. courses. You overcome this by following such abbreviation periods by a forced blank, e.g., as in refs. to comp.lit. or phys.ed. courses. See the difference?

This rule of thumb causes difficulty with the unhappy habit and unfortunate standard rule of 'putting the period at the end of a quote inside the quote.' The remedy is simple: 'Put the period outside'. But if you must keep it inside, "follow the quote with two forced blanks." There.

Note that the beginning quotes in the preceding paragraph were typed differently from what you might have expected. Just to get used to this, also type the closing double quote as " even though " will give the same thing.

## simple math

All math within text is enclosed within dollar signs, even when it is just one letter or symbol, such as $a, b$, or $c$, and certainly for things like $E=m c^{2}$, or $x_{i}+y_{i}=\frac{3}{4}$, or $a^{b^{c}}$, or $x_{1}^{\alpha}$, or or $\int_{-1}^{1} x d x=0$, or $\sum_{i=0}^{n} c^{i}=\left(c^{n+1}-1\right) /(c-1)$ for all $n \geq 0$.

It is worth studying these examples in some detail. I have not left any spaces around symbols; $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ takes care of that, usually. But $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ cannot understand math, so sometimes you may have to control spacing, as I have done in the integral, by putting a bit of space (via $\backslash$,) between the $x$ and the $d x$. Also, one must use braces to indicate the extent of subscripts and superscripts, but only if they involve more than one character. Braces are also required to control what \over puts on top and below the bar.

For a complete, ordered list of all the available math symbols, see Sam Bent's $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ Reference Card in the appendix.

The inexperienced typist will bemoan having to type all those dollar signs. Although I do touch-typing, sort of, I have found it more convenient to type the dollar sign (and some other signs) piano-fashion, i.e., by hitting appropriate keys simultaneously with the
same hand; in this example, it's the left hand, with the little finger hitting the shift key while the middle finger simultaneously hits the $4 / \$$ key.

## display math

Displayed math is enclosed within double dollar signs. Here are some of the earlier math examples, but in double dollar signs:

$$
E=m c^{2}, \text { or } x_{i}+y_{i}=\frac{3}{4}, o r a^{b^{c}}, \text { or } x_{1}^{\alpha},
$$

or

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} x d x=0
$$

or

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n} c^{i}=\left(c^{n+1}-1\right) /(c-1), \text { foralln } \geq 0
$$

You'll notice that some of the display has become more expansive. For example, the summation sign is bigger, and its limits are above and below it, rather than to the right of it. That's nice since $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ takes care of such things; you type it the same way, whether in math mode or in display mode, and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ makes the appropriate adjustments. You'll also notice that the last comma in the first and second display, and the period in the third display, are now typed before the dollar sign(s) rather than after. Can you guess what would have happened otherwise?

On the other hand, notice what happened to the ordinary word "or" and the text "for all". $T_{E} X$ treats them, not as words, but as a sequence of math symbols, hence puts them into italics, gives them a funny spacing and ignores entirely the interword space. To have them typeset as ordinary text, you need to switch temporarily to that font, as in the following:

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n} c^{i}=\left(c^{n+1}-1\right) /(c-1), \text { forall } n \geq 0
$$

This now uses ordinary (roman) type for these two words, but still isn't right since, being inside display mode, the interword spacing is ignored. So you have to enforce it by using the forced blank:

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n} c^{i}=\left(c^{n+1}-1\right) /(c-1), \text { for all } n \geq 0
$$

This is still not quite right since it is nicer to set off that last quantifier from the actual formula. This you do by inserting some (standard) space that $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ provides with the commands \quad and \qquad. \qquad is the right one here:

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n} c^{i}=\left(c^{n+1}-1\right) /(c-1), \quad \text { for all } n \geq 0
$$

> alignment
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ really begins to pay off when it comes to the alignment of text. Here are some standard examples:

$$
H(x):= \begin{cases}x & \text { if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ 2-x & \text { if } 1 \leq x \leq 2 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

This is an example of the \cases statement. The description of each line has two fields. The first field goes from the 'beginning' of the description to the ampersand \&; it is typeset automatically as math. The second field goes from the ampersand to the carriage return \cr, and it is typeset automatically as text; hence any math in it must be embraced by dollar signs. The description of the first line begins right at the opening brace of the \cases statement. The description of subsequent lines begins right after the \cr of the preceding line. (It doesn't matter how many actual lines you use in your .tex file to describe the lines to be displayed in the cases statement.)

If you prefer somewhat more space between the cases, use \noalign, as in this modification, which also shows how you could get the material in the first fields centered:

$$
H(x):=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
x & \text { if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\
2-x & \text { if } 1 \leq x \leq 2 \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

The next example shows how to align several lines of displayed equations. The description of each line is terminated again by the carriage return, and, in each description, the point of alignment is again marked by an ampersand.

$$
\begin{aligned}
M *^{\prime} f & =M *\left(M_{\mid} * c\right) \\
& =M *\left(c * M_{\mid}\right) \\
& =(M * c) * M_{\mid}=f *^{\prime} M
\end{aligned}
$$

Incidentally, the asterisks have all been em'brace'd here to prevent $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ from embedding them in extra space (a thing it would do to any symbol it recognizes as a binary operation sign).

Many math alignments can be handled by using matrices. Here is the standard example:

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \ldots & a_{1 n}  \tag{14}\\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \ldots & a_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{m 1} & a_{m 2} & \ldots & a_{m n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

in which you also see various ways of entering the equivalent of etc into math formulas as well as an equation number. The macro \pmatrix provides its own fences. If you prefer some other kind, you use \matrix together with \left and \right, as in

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{A} & \mathcal{B} & C  \tag{14}\\
\mathbf{D} & F & \Gamma
\end{array}\right],
$$

which also illustrates that you can treat each matrix entry as if it were typeset without regards to any other entry (which it is).

Note that, once again, the ampersand \& indicates the alignment points while the carriage return \cr indicates the end of a line (description).

The use of over- and underbrace is illustrated nicely here:


Actually, this looks a bit crooked. To get it right, add a \mathstrut to each group to be 'overbraced' in order to give them the same height:


This is still not quite right, since we would want apostrophes rather than primes in the overbrace material, but 'twill serve.

The next example shows the general-purpose and very useful \halign command in action:
for $f \in \Pi_{M}, \quad M *^{\prime} f=f *^{\prime} M=\underset{j}{=} \underset{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}{ } M(j)-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}(f-f(\cdot-j)) M(j)$
Here, too, we describe how each of the displayed lines should look. As before, fields are separated by ampersands \&'s, and line descriptions by carriage returns \cr's. But the first line description is special. It doesn't describe a particular line; rather, it is a template for the actual lines, i.e., it describes a general pattern to be followed by all (subsequent) lines. This means that each field in the first line description tells how the corresponding field in the actual lines should be handled, with the sharp sign \# indicating just where in that field the actual material in each line for that field is to appear.

In the above example, the description of the first field is simplest. It contains the sharp sign \# and nothing else. The second field starts with some space and then has the sharp \# embraced by dollar signs. Hence, for each line, the second field will start with the same specified amount of space followed by the actual material from the second field, but typeset in math mode. The fourth field (it is part of the trickiness of \halign to keep proper track of which field is which) uses $\backslash$ hfil to put some variable space around the material for that field, which, in effect, centers that material within the field. It also embraces the material with dollar-signs, hence will do it in math mode. The next field is entirely in math-mode, but embraces the sharp sign with a little space (indicated by \; which only works in math-mode), and so puts that space around the material for that field in each actual line. And so on.

The only thing not quite right about the above display is lack of centering and lack of proper surrounding space. But that can be arranged, using \noalign to put some space between the lines, and things called $\backslash$ hbox and $\backslash v b o x$ to center it all, as you see here:

$$
\text { for } \begin{array}{rlcc}
f \in \Pi_{M}, \quad M *^{\prime} f=f *^{\prime} M & = & f \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} M(j)-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}(f-f(\cdot-j)) M(j) \\
& \in & f & +
\end{array} \Pi_{<\operatorname{deg} f}
$$

I have to admit that getting an alignment of such complexity right takes some doing and some patience, and some struggle with $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ 's often inscrutable error messages.

This particular example might actually be easier to handle with the \matrix command, which gives:

$$
\text { for } \begin{aligned}
f \in \Pi_{M}, \quad M *^{\prime} f=f *^{\prime} M & =f \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} M(j) \\
& \in \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}(f-f(\cdot-j)) M(j) \\
& \in \quad f \quad \Pi_{<\operatorname{deg} f}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here is a simple use of \halign which also demonstrates the use of \hbox and \vbox, well, of its cousin \vtop (see the section on boxes for details).

Michael G. Crandall and Pierre-Louis Lions
Department of Mathematics
University of Wisconsin-Madison
Madison WI 53706
Ceremade
Université Paris-Dauphine
Place de Lattre de Tassigny
75775 Paris Cedex 16
The function served here by \halign is to permit the name-and-address information to be entered in line, separated only by the carriage returns, and to make sure that all these lines are left-adjusted, and to supply a box that is exactly large enough to contain all that information, hence makes it possible to align these two boxes properly on the page. But it makes it also very easy to center the information instead, by adding another \hfill to the template in \halign:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { Michael G. Crandall } & \text { and } & \text { Pierre-Louis Lions } \\
\text { Department of Mathematics } & \text { Ceremade } \\
\text { University of Wisconsin-Madison } & \text { Université Paris-Dauphine } \\
\text { Madison WI 53706 } & \text { Place de Lattre de Tassigny } \\
& 75775 \text { Paris Cedex 16 }
\end{array}
$$

Table construction is also easily done with the aid of \halign. Here is a simple table.

## Table 1

$$
\begin{array}{c|c}
x & \sin (x) \\
\hline 0 & 0 \\
\pi / 2 & 1
\end{array}
$$

Note the use of rules to draw lines. Note in particular how that vertical line is drawn for each line with the aid of the second field in the pattern. Note that the pattern description for that second field must contain a sharp even though you have no intention of ever putting anything into that field (other than the \vrule specified by the pattern).

But it's a miserable table otherwise. The heading line and the first line in the table are right on top of each other, yet there's some skip between the two lines in the table, and the columns are just big enough to contain the widest item in each.

We fix the crowding of the lines by putting a \strut into the pattern (i.e., a \vrule of zero width but of sufficient height and depth that the resulting lines of type can be allowed to be contiguous without any interlineskip and still look well separated). This will also fix the problem with the skip between the lines; for, with the \strut making all lines a little bit larger, we can turn off the interlineskip inside the box that is to contain the table by saying \offinterlineskip. Finally, we can make the columns a little bit wider by adding some forced blanks in the patterns. Here is the result:

## Table 1

| $x$ | $\sin (x)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 |
| $\pi / 2$ | 1 |

For the more demanding consumer, here is a more sophisticated table in which repeated \hrules have given some lines greater thickness, and in which some entries actually go across several columns.

Table 2

| $\theta=2 \mathrm{deg}$ |  |  | Flight data |  | Computation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $R^{*}$ | $\tau^{*}$ | $b$ | $C_{l r m}$ | $C_{l s m}$ | $C_{l r m}$ | $C_{l s m}$ |
| 10.0 | .091 | 4.32 | -.025 | .020 | -.02375 | .02437 |
| 20.0 | .087 | 5.20 | -.040 | .040 | -.02984 | .03571 |
| 45.2 | .123 | 4.23 | -.055 | .050 | -.04454 | .04714 |

Note the use of \hbox to \hsize and \hfill to center the table. By the way, in typing in the description, I made extensive use of the fact that, in vi, it is very easy to duplicate and repeatedly use a word, or phrase, or entire line, and that vi will automatically show me matching braces, so I would be sure which grouping I was closing. As a result, the table came out perfect the first time I ran $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}}$ on it, - except that I had typed Fight rather than Flight, and had typed C_\{\rm\} rather than C_\{lrm\}. Immerhin.
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ also makes available the main tool for vertical alignment on a typewriter, the tab key. I have never used it since I find \halign so much more versatile and I don't have to count spaces beforehand. (In fact, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E} X}$ implements tabbing with the aid of $\backslash$ halign.) Tabbing is useful for horizontal alignments that run over many pages since, in contrast to \halign, tabbed material can be typeset line by line. By contrast, \halign must first look at all the columns before it can settle column width and spaces between columns.

Finally, there are occasions when you want to locate material just so. For example, you might have a graph you wish to label. (TEX makes available the macro \special which allows you to include, e.g., graphic material right with the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{Xed}$ material. Details depend on the printer you are using and on the particular software that converts the dvi file $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ produces into a file that makes sense to your printer.) For this, look for the macros \gridbox and \point in the section headed 'including and labelling figures', which are also useful for precise placement without any figure involved.

We now use \vfill \eject to generate a clean page break.

The size of the overall area on a page to be filled with print can be controlled by setting \hsize and \vsize.

The placement of this rectangle of printed text is controlled by setting \hoffset and \voffset.

The space between paragraphs is controlled by \parskip.
The amount of indentation is controlled by $\backslash$ parindent.

The amount of space between lines can be controlled by setting \baselineskip, as I have just done. All these parameters have default values. You set them only to change those default values. Their new values are used as soon as you set them and until you come to the end of the current grouping. After that, they revert to what they were before entering that grouping.

If you want to restrict these changes to a particular part of the text, put that text into braces that also embrace those changes, as I have done for the preceding three paragraphs (and also for the entire material on this page).

The preceding paragraph has had its margins widened by use of \narrower. Also, the indentation for the present paragraph has been suppressed by a \noindent, and the paragraph made yet narrower by a \narrower\narrower. But, in each case, the effect of the narrowing command has been restricted to the paragraph by embracing the completed paragraph.
It is important to note that parameters that only affect entire paragraphs (such as \baselineskip or \narrrower) only affect those paragraphs that are completed before the end of the grouping within which they occur is reached. For example, leaving off the \par near the end of the description of the preceding paragraph would have prevented the \narrower\narrower at its beginning from taking effect.
$\backslash$ parindent has just been made quite small. Also, \hang has been used to indent the entire paragraph. The amount of indenting is determined by the current value of \parindent.

- You can get the same effect with - , except that
- gives you the opportunity to put something to the left of the indent on the first line, as I have done here.
Note the effects of
a \leftline
and of a \line
a \centerline
and a \rightline
with some
\hfill


On this page, you see some heading and some footing. Also, the page number also occurs at the upper right corner. All of this is the result of setting two built-in macros called \headline and \footline. As you read the definitions, you will appreciate the possibility of having the left-page headline differ from the right-page headline, or of having the page number appear at the bottom center as long as it is a roman numeral (indicated by having \pageno negative), and at the outer upper page corner otherwise.

For this, you need to know that $\backslash$ folio gives you the decimal digits of the current page number (i.e., the value of $\backslash$ pageno) in the current font, in case that number is nonnegative, and gives you the roman numerals of the negative of \pageno otherwise. That works out fine for the title matter in a book (and since the Romans didn't have a zero). You also want to know that \pageno is something that $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ will increase by one every time it finishes a page (or decrease by one if it was negative). But, if you don't like the current number and rather have it be the number 1937, you could say $\backslash$ pageno=1937 and that would take care of it.

In fact, if you don't want page numbers at all, say \nopagenumbers. This is the same as saying \footline\{\hfil\}, but makes the purpose clearer.

I'll restore all of this (including \pageno) back to what it was at the beginning of the next page. Look there for how it is done.

More complicated schemes are available. E.g., it is possible to have the footline contain the latest marked expression in the text prior to the page break. But for such things, you had better consult the book.

It is also good to know how to do a
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commands, macros, definitions
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ commands (also called macros, or definitions) begin with a backslash and are followed either by exactly one non-letter, or else by one or more letters. There is no limitation on how many letters such a command 'word' is made up from. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ will read the letters until it comes to a non-letter and then take all the letters read together as being the command word. If that terminating non-letter is a blank (or space), then it (and all blanks following it) will be lost.

For example, you have been seeing the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ logo. The instruction for its typing is such a command, but you see the command being followed by another backslash and a space. The latter command is of the non-letter variety, the non-letter being the blank. It tells TEXto put a blank space in. Can you tell why that is necessary? Look also for earlier examples, in which the command for the logo is not followed by the blank command.

Available commands are either primitive commands, or else macros, i.e., an abbreviation for a sequence of commands. The description of the heading for the next section contains an example of such a macro, defined just prior to it to combine the three commands used in the description of earlier headings.

Sam Bent's $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ Reference Card in the Appendix contains a complete, ordered listing of all the primitive commands as well as the plainTEXsupplied macros. But the fact that you can make up your own macros to suit your own purposes is one of the major attractions of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$.

For example, I have collected a file consisting entirely of macro definitions. The file happens to be called format.tex. To make certain that these macros are available to me when I work on a .tex file, I start the .tex file with the command \input format. This instructs $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ to become familiar with all those macros in the file format.tex before starting to work on the instructions in the .tex file. The full file is available by anonymous ftp at ftp.cs.wisc.edu/Approx and includes definitions like
$\backslash d e f \backslash g a\{\backslash a l p h a\}$ for greek alpha; or
$\backslash$ def $\backslash g 0\{\backslash$ Omega\} for greek Capital Omega, so I only have to type $\$ \backslash \mathrm{ga} \$$ and $\$ \backslash \mathrm{gO} \mathbf{\$}$ to get ' $\alpha$ and $\Omega$ '; or
\def $\backslash$ bs\{ $\backslash$ backslash\} for the printed backsslash, so I only have to type \bs to get a backslash in the text (instead of the long word backslash).
(Please admire the actual instructions for the typing of the last item. The great convenience brought on by making ten characters special brings much inconvience when you actually want to print those characters. (The difficulty is compounded by writing it all in typewriter font.) Fortunately, you seldom need to type these special characters. My trip-up is usually the dollar or percent sign in a letter, or the sharp sign in math-mode (where it denotes cardinality), or the ampersand in a list of names or a reference.)

Why would use of the macro \deadly defined by \def \deadly\{\deadly\} be deadly?

## commands with arguments

The heading of this section is put in with the aid of the macro \heading. Have a look at it. It uses an argument, namely the material that is to appear in the heading. Now look at its definition, just above its use. You can tell from the definition that it is intended to
use an argument because you see the symbols \#1 right between the name of the macro and the opening brace of its 'body'. Also, you see within the body the symbols \#1 repeated right at the spot where the material that makes up the argument is to be placed.

Here is how such a macro is understood by $T_{E} X$. After $T_{E} X$ has read the macro's name ( $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{x}$ will know that the name is heading and not something longer because the character following the g in \heading either is a blank or else a non-letter), $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ will look up the definition, find that it requires an argument and now look for it. It expects to find an opening brace as the next character. If that is indeed the case, it will take everything between this opening brace and the corresponding closing brace as the argument. In this particular example, this means that everything between that opening and corresponding closing brace will end up centered, with the centerline preceded and followed by some vertical space.
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ also allows for a shortcut. If an argument consists of just one character, then it is not necessary to enclose it in braces. In other words, if $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ does not find an opening brace as the next character, then it takes that next character as the whole argument.

A macro may have up to nine separate arguments. In the definition of the macro, they are listed, between the macro's name and the opening brace of the macro's 'body', as \#1\#2. . . . They should also (but don't have to) appear at least once inside the macro's body, exactly at the spot at which the material that forms the argument is to appear. The intent is to have the macro provide a template with certain places left open, to be filled in with particulars when the macro is actually used.

At first glance, this list of \#1\#2\#3. . . between macro name and body looks a bit silly; why not simply say 5 if five arguments are expected? But this is yet another cleverness of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$. For, you are permitted to put any one character after each of those numbers, for example, \#1,\#2|\#3\#4/..., and these very characters are used later by $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ to decide when one argument ends and the next one begins. For example, I have a friend (not a piano player) who hates typing dollar signs. He has a macro that he defined as follows:
\def\m\#1:\{\$\#1\$\}
If he has to type something in math-mode, e.g. $\alpha=1 / \gamma$, he would type
$\backslash m \backslash a l p h a=1 / \backslash$ gamma:
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ will pick up the m as the name of a macro, look it up and find that it has one argument and that the extent of the argument is all the stuff following that $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ until it comes across a colon. So it picks up all that stuff and, following instructions, puts it between dollar signs and then processes it in the usual way. The colon itself will not be printed; it was used up as the delimiter or terminator of the first (and only) argument of the macro.

What is he going to do when his math-stuff contains a colon, e.g., he wants to type $\{x: f(x)=0\}$ ? Then he hides that colon in braces! I.e., he types
$\backslash m \backslash\{x\{:\} f(x)=0 \backslash\}:$
For, as $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}}$ reads the stuff following $\backslash \mathrm{m}$, it skips over any groupings, i.e. over any stuff between braces in its search for the delimiting or terminating colon.

## including and labelling figures

Here are the definitions of $\backslash$ gridbox and \point. These macros are useful for the precise placement of material. I illustrate their use in labelling a simple graph. The actual graph is specified with the aid of PostScript, the same language that is used on many Laser writers to print $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$. Different printers or different converters from dvi-file to printer file would require different statements to get the graphic combined with text. A popular (free) means for placing figures into $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ text is $\backslash \mathrm{epsf}$.

We start with the graphic, put into the gridbox, with the grid drawn, to help us later on to place the labels.


Next we take a stab at placing the labels.


That looks ok, except that the $R$ should be a little bit more to right and down, say .35 cm down and .4 cm to the right. So we change these 'coordinates'. We also get rid of the grid (which we can do by saying \showgridfalse or by saying \gridwidth=0pt), center the whole figure, and put a Figure description underneath.


A
C
Figure 3. A labelled graph

There, that wasn't so bad. - One would usually work on this in a separate file and only insert the finished material appropriately, perhaps using \midinsert or \topinsert.

At times，it is convenient to pack it all in．This is one of those times，I think．（You might try to modify the macro \boxit used here to get a double box line．）

## sequencing equations and other items

（1）Here is the macro \label which is useful for an automatic sequencing of equations and other items．It is so simple that it wouldn＇t be hard for you to modify them to fit the particular needs of a particular paper．
（2）\label will increment the value of \equationno by one and then print it out，and will enclose it in parentheses if called in display－math mode，as in the following．

$$
\begin{equation*}
e=m c^{1 / 2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

（4）In order to refer to these numbers later，you give them names．For example，I gave the name \listequ to the number that starts the paragraph（2）（check how I typed the preceding number）by saying $\backslash$ label $\backslash$ listequ there．
（38）You can always change \equationno to any value you like．For example，I just changed it to 37 by typing \equationno＝37 prior to this paragraph．
（39）If you find that you have trouble remembering the names you gave to earlier items（and you don＇t want to search for it in the ．tex file），you can say \showlabeltrue and from then on the name you used will appear，quite small，nearby in the left margin of the printed document．
（40）Guess what you type to turn off this feature？
（41），（42），．．．．
$(\infty)$ Finally，you may wish to refer to the very equation－or item－numbers named in this ．tex file in other files．You would want to write them as definitions into a file $\langle$ filename〉 which you would then \input $\langle$ filename $\rangle$ in the other file．For this to work here，you would first say something like
\rememberchaptertrue \newwrite\chpaux
\immediate\openout\chpaux＝〈filename〉
when you make all those other definitions in this section．The macro \label then takes care of the rest．
$(\infty+1)$ Actually，the way it is set up in this section，the name saved is given the suffix \chapterno，with the assumption that \chapterno is some word identifying this particular file．Furthermore，the number saved is prefixed by \chapterno．．This means that you can safely use names in the present file without worrying about the fact that you might use the same name for something else in the other file．Enough already．

## boxes

A box has three dimensions: height, width, and depth. These measure the extent of the box in reference to its reference point. height is its height above, width its extent to the right of, and depth its depth below, its reference point. This reference point serves as a means of alignment when boxes are put together. See Knuth, page 63, for a beautiful picture.

Boxes are put together to make (more complicated) boxes. They can be put together vertically or horizontally. Either way, they are lined up by their reference points. In a vertical list, each box is stacked below its predecessor so as to align their reference points, while, in a horizontal list, each box is placed to the right of its predecessor so as to align their reference points.

Once a list has been used to make a box, the list is forgotten, and only the box remains. It is just a box. You can't tell whether the box was made by stringing boxes together vertically or horizontally. Neither can you take it apart again.
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ is in vertical or horizontal mode, depending on whether it is putting together a vertical or a horizontal list of boxes.
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ switches from one mode to the other when it comes across an item that doesn't allow it to go on in the present mode.

In the most simple setup, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ is putting together letters and blanks to make up a line. In terms of boxes, it is making up a horizontal list. The resulting box is a line of type.

Even when $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ is in vertical mode, if it comes across a letter, a (forced) blank or other character, it will temporarily suspend vertical mode and start making a line of type. As this is the first line (of possibly several lines), it will begin with an indent (whose length is specified by $\backslash$ parindent). Subsequent items in the list all will contribute to that line of type and possibly further lines of type, just as if $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ had been asked to make up a page.

A list of such boxes, each a line of type, forms the basic vertical list. The resulting box is a page of text.

The simplest boxes are those consisting of just one letter or other character. Their dimensions are determined by the font designer. This information is available to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ in appropriate .fnt files.
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ makes up automatically the boxes that represent a line of type and the boxes that represent a page, and the sizes of these boxes are determined by default or by certain parameters that are set by default but could be set by the user.

A box made up automatically from a horizontal list of character boxes (and perhaps others) has \hsize as its default width, as do other boxes intended to be a line of type, i.e., the boxes made with the aid of the \. . .line macros (like \leftline, \centerline, and the like). Its height is the biggest of the heights of the boxes in its list, and the depth is the biggest depth of the boxes in it.

The box made up from a vertical list of boxes to give a page has default width \hsize and the sum of its height and depth is \vsize.
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ can be asked explicitly to make up a box, by saying \hbox\{...\} if boxes are to be assembled horizontally, or saying $\backslash v b o x\{\ldots\}$ if boxes are to be assembled vertically,
with the material ．．．in braces providing the details．While being made，a box is only dimly aware of the context within which it is made．

When making up a box this way，you can explicitly specify its dimensions．For ex－ ample，\hbox to $\langle$ dimen〉\｛．．．\} will give you a box whose width is $\langle d i m e n\rangle$ ，while \vbox to $\langle$ dimen $\rangle\{\ldots\}$ will give you a box whose height and depth add up to $\langle$ dimen $\rangle$ ．If the material ．．．cannot be accomodated within that size，you＇ll get a complaint．If you are looking for a dimension that will just fit the given material plus the amount $\langle$ dimen $\rangle$ extra， use \hbox spread〈dimen〉\｛．．．\} (or \vbox spread〈dimen〉\{...\}) instead.

You can also let default determine the dimension of these made－up boxes．If the box was made from a horizontal list，then，much as with a line of type，its height is the biggest height among its boxes，and its depth is the biggest depth among its boxes，and its width is the sum of the widths of its boxes plus whatever glue was used in between．If the box was made from a vertical list，then the sum of its height and depth is the sum of the heights and depths of the boxes in its list，plus whatever interlineglue was used between these boxes．Further，its depth is that of its last（lowest）box，and its width is the width of its widest box．（If you prefer to have its height that of its first（topmost）box，don＇t ask for a \vbox but ask for a \vtop\｛．．．\} instead.)

There are some perhaps surprising things implied by what I have described so far． Consider，for example，the command

$$
\backslash \text { vbox }\{b e\}
$$

which asks for a box to be made from a vertical list．The description of the list is quite short，it＇s just be．In particular，the list begins with a character（box），hence $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ switches from the vertical mode you asked it to be in to making a line of type，in horizontal mode． Further，since this is the first line of type it is making for the present vertical list，it will start it with an indent（whose size is determined by \parindent）．Then it will put in the b and then the e．With that，it reaches the end of the list，hence will finish off the line of type，making its width equal to \hsize，put the resulting box as the first and only box in the vertical list started by the command，and finish off the resulting box（whose width will also be \hsize；in fact，all its dimensions will be that of the line of type inside it）．You can test just how wide the resulting box is by giving $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ the instruction $\backslash$ hbox $\{\backslash \mathrm{vbox}\{\mathrm{be}\}$ ？\} as I am doing now，in a display：
be
The question mark is so far to the right， $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ complains about an overfull box，putting a black mark（！）into the right margin．Using a reasonable \hsize inside that \vbox will reduce that box＇s size，as in
be ?

As another example of how dimensions of a box are determined，consider the rules． A \vrule is a box（entirely filled with black）whose width is，by default， 0.4 pt ，and whose height and depth is，by default，that of the box in whose horizontal list it occurs．By contrast，an \hrule is a box（entirely filled with black）whose width is that of the box in whose vertical list it occurs while its height is .4 pt and its depth is 0 ，by default．（In either case，it is possible to specify these dimensions arbitrarily，by saying things like \hrule width $\langle$ dimen $\rangle$ height $\langle d i m e n\rangle$ etc．）

While we are on the subject of rules，they are often used with one of their dimension equal to 0 ．This means that you never see them printed．Such empty rules are useful nevertheless since they force the box within which they occur to have certain minimum size．For example，a \mathstrut is such a \vrule of 0 width，but of the same height and depth as a parenthesis．Hence any box made from a horizontal list containing such a \mathstrut will have height and depth at least that of a parenthesis．Such an empty \hrule is also useful at the beginning or the end of page，to give a \vfill something to push against（when trying to center material vertically on the page）．

When making up a box from a list of boxes，the boxes are aligned（horizontally or vertically，depending on the mode）according to their reference points．You can change the alignment of an individual box by using \raise〈dimen〉 or \lower〈dimen〉 in front of it when in horizontal mode，and using \moveright〈dimen $\rangle$ or $\backslash$ moveleft $\langle$ dimen $\rangle$ when in vertical mode．

There are other box－making modes that $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ gets into，e．g．，the math mode（single dollar signs）or the display mode（double dollar signs）．Also，the vertical mode comes in two forms，the basic one when making up a page，and the internal vertical mode when acting on a \vbox command．The horizontal mode has two analogous versions．

In making up a page，lines are actually put together into paragraphs first，with the spacing between paragraphs controlled by a different parameter（viz．\parskip）than the spacing between lines（which is controlled by \baselineskip）．Further，the first line of a paragraph is indented（according to the parameter \parindent）．

If a line of text is meant to be a paragraph all by itself（such as a title or other dis－ played line），then it is good to so identify it by putting its material ．．．into \line\｛．．．\}. If the text in this line should be left－flush，use \leftline\｛．．．\}, if right-flush, use \rightline\｛．．．\}, and if centered, use \centerline\{...\}. The material . . . is expected to give a list of things suitable for a horizontal list．

TEST：If you were to submit the following two－line ．tex file to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ ：
\hbox\｛this\}\hbox\{should\}\vbox\{be\} \hbox\{stacked\} \ \hbox\{this<br>$\hbox\{not\} }$ $\backslash$ bye
what would the outcome be？
Did you predict the indents？

## errors

Dealing with one's errors is perhaps the hardest and most frustrating part of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ use.
One difficulty is $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ 's very efficiency: There is little redundancy, hence one misplaced character can give a totally different (and often unexpected) interpretation to all subsequent instructions.

You defend yourself against this efficiency by checking out $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ instructions in small chunks. This means that you have a working file, in addition to the polished file that is to contain the finished set of instructions. The working file has the same formating material as the polished file. As you type your document, you would type a paragraph or two in the working file, submit that working file to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$, and deal with the errors that $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ might point out to you. When the material is finally error-free, move it from the working file and add it to the material in the polished file.

You are bound to change that polished file later on (it is one of the attractions of using computer typesetting that it makes it so easy to change things!). Whenever you cannot really understand the resulting error( message)s, take the offending material from the polished file back into the working file and try to figure it out in that simpler environment.

In any case, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ will give you error messages and expect some kind of response from you. Unfortunately, many of these error messages require a detailed understanding of the inner workings of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ if they are to be understood fully. Fortunately, you can often deal with the problem without understanding the message fully.

For example, if $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ tells you that it is inserting a dollar sign or a brace, you can be sure that you need a dollar sign or a brace somewhere, and you can go looking for that place. Some editors (like vi) can help with that, as described below.

At times, it helps to ask for further explanations (by responding to the error message with an $h$ ). But often it requires an expert. If you are lucky, you know someone with that knowledge, and I'd exploit that situation. (You'll find that true TEXperts are often immensely proud of their hardwon knowledge and eager to show it off.)

If you and those around you don't understand an error message, simply tell $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ to continue, by hitting the RETURN or ENTER key.

On the other hand, there may be an error so confusing to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ that it keeps complaining more or less about the same lines or things. Then you might as well give up and type an e to end the session. In any case, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ keeps a running file of all these error messages (the file usually has the same name as your .tex file, but a different suffix, e.g., it might be a . log file or a .lis file). This means that you can look at these errors at leisure later on once $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ is finished with your file.

If you have a window system on your computer, you would have the .tex file in an editor in one window, and the.$l o g$ file in another to look at while you try to take care of all the errors. Without a window system, you would jump between the .tex file and the . log file, reading in the. $\log$ file about the next error, then jumping to the .tex file to correct it.

You will know just where $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ has difficulty because any error message always refers to a line number in your .tex file. But, because of the aforementioned efficiency, this may only be the first place where an earlier error actually has some effect.

As an example, consider the very common error of forgetting to type the concluding dollar sign for some math expression. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ will cruise along and interpret all subsequent instructions in math-mode terms until it comes to something that cannot be reasonably interpreted that way. It will then complain about that rather than about the dollar sign you forgot earlier. You can understand why it has to be that way: There is really no way of guessing just where that dollar sign should have been.

Because dollar signs go missing so often, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ does add them at times just to avoid misinterpreting the entire remaining file. It will add a dollar sign if, while in math mode, it comes to the end of a grouping or of a paragraph that began before it entered the current math mode. And it will tell you about it.

If you have trouble finding the missing dollar sign, try to look for it systematically. Go to the beginning of your .tex file, set up your file editor to find the next dollar sign, then go systematically through the file from dollar sign to dollar sign, verifying that each intended beginning dollar sign has its appropriate ending dollar sign and vice versa. This procedure is not so hard when you only work on a little piece of the file at a time.

You might find that you are actually missing a beginning dollar sign. Those generate a different kind of error message since they are detected by $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ because something you intended to be in math-mode $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ is interpreting in text mode. There are all kinds of things (Greek letters and other math symbols, thin spaces like $\backslash,, \backslash ;$, and math accents) which only make sense in math-mode. As soon as $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ comes across one of these in text mode, it puts in a dollar sign just prior to it, and tells you so. Unfortunately, this is often not exactly the right place. But you should be able to find the right place.

Even worse are the error messages due to misplaced or missing braces. Since $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ uses braces to indicate groupings, and all kinds of things depend on groupings, such mishandled braces can produce the most amazing error messages. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ will put in a closing brace when it becomes clear that something is seriously wrong, just to close off that difficulty, and go on looking at the rest of the file. And it will tell you so.

The best defense against misplaced braces is to use an editor like vi. The .exrc file (which customizes vi) can be set up (by having in it the instruction set sm) so that, every time you type a closing brace, the editor will move the cursor temporarily to the matching opening brace. If it finds none, it will beep, and you are now alert to a mistake and in good position to do something about it. If it finds the match, you have a chance to see whether that is the match you intended and, again, you are in good position to do something about it. (There is a bonus here: once set up in this way, vi will also check for matching parentheses and brackets.)

The same facility gives you a chance for an additional check when you are done typing the file. Go to the end of the file and insert a closing brace. You expect a beep since all braces should already be matching; there should not be a opening brace matching
this one. But, if the cursor moves to a brace, you now know that something is wrong about that (or some other) brace, and you can do something about it. To check for an unmatched closing brace, go to the beginning of the file and insert an opening brace. Of course, nothing will happen, since vi only checks when you type a closing fence. But, with the cursor on the brace you have just typed (and back in command mode), type \%, and vi will look for the matching closing brace. You hope for the beep that says it can't find one. But if it does find one, there is another brace to do something about.

It is more efficient to look for misplaced braces in this way before submitting the file to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ since you actually know the intentions of the instructions in your file, hence have a much easier time correcting misplaced braces and dollar signs than $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ does, as $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ is restricted to having to divine your intentions.

Missing or misplaced dollar signs cause $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ to switch between math and text mode in unintended ways. Not surprisingly, unintended switching between any two modes can be a source of seemingly strange errors (due to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ 's efficiency). (Mode switching, from ground to air or from air to ground, is also the most dangerous moment for airplanes.)
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ is always in one of six possible modes. The primary four are: horizontal mode (when it puts together a line of text), vertical mode (when it puts together lines of text into paragraphs and pages), math-mode, and display mode. In addition, there are: restricted horizontal mode (when it assembles a list of boxes horizontally) and restricted vertical mode (when it assembles a list of boxes vertically).

Whenever $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ is in horizontal mode and it comes across an instruction incompatible with that (e.g., a \par or \halign), it will try to revert to a previous vertical mode by finishing the box it is presently assembling and adding it to the previously started vertical list. The trouble comes when there is no previously active vertical list. It will usually try to insert some brace (making a guess at the proper grouping), but you may have no idea what that is all about. But, once you diagnose the problem as one of unintended mode switching, i.e., an oversight on your part, then the remedy is simple. Start an encompassing vertical list by encasing the present context in a \vbox\{...\}. Whether in horizontal or vertical mode, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ is always ready to start another box and, once that box is finished, it will simply add it to the list it was building when it came across the box-building instruction.

There is an analogous difficulty with unintended switching from vertical to horizontal mode, and, if due to an oversight, it is repaired by making the switch intentionally, i.e., by encasing the relevant material in an \hbox\{...\}.

## $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{E}} \mathbf{X n i c a l i t i e s}$

Carl de Boor

## Disclaimer and Exhortation

The statements about $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ made here are probably not completely true, but they are true enough to get you started. Knuth's $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{Xb}$ book is the (seemingly inexhaustible) source for the complete information. My copy has several pages (e.g., 52, 135, 145, 147, 427, etc.) marked with paperclips. In fact, I have a copy of pages 434-438 handy to look up available standard symbols. You are urged to consult that book any time you feel ready. When you first do, follow the book's advice: Read it first from cover to cover ignoring ALL paragraphs marked with a dangerous bend sign. After that, you are ready for the more detailed stuff hidden in the rest of the book. You are also urged to look at the left pages of these notes to see how $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ was instructed to typeset what you read.

You might also want to secure a list of all the fonts available to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ for your printer.
Appended to these notes is Sam Bent's $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ Reference Card which provides a superb ordered listing of the available commands in plain $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$.

