## MATHEMATICAL PROGRAMMING

## Depth Exam: Answer any 6 of the following 8 questions Breadth Exam: Answer any 3 of the following 8 questions

1. A textile firm is capable of producing 3 products in amounts  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ . Its production plan for the next month must satisfy the constraints:

$$x_1 + 2x_2 + 2x_3 \le 12$$
$$2x_1 + 4x_2 + x_3 \le f$$
$$x_1 \ge 0, \ x_2 \ge 0, \ x_3 \ge 0$$

The first constraint is determined by equipment availability and is fixed. The second constraint is determined by the availability of cotton, with f being the amount of cotton available. The net profits of the products are 2, 3 and 3 per unit respectively, excluding the cost of cotton.

- (a) Find the optimal dual variable (shadow price) λ<sub>2</sub> of the cotton input as a function of f. Plot λ<sub>2</sub>(f) and the net profit z(f), excluding the cost of cotton.
- (b) The firm may purchase cotton on the open market at a price of  $\frac{1}{6}$ . However, it may acquire a limited amount s at a price of  $\frac{1}{12}$  from a major supplier that it purchases from frequently. Determine the net profit of the firm  $\Pi(s)$  as a function of s.
- 2. Consider the following linear system:

$$Ax = b$$
$$x \ge 0$$

where A is an  $m \times n$  real matrix with rank (A) = m and  $0 \neq b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Let  $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ax = b, x \ge 0\} \neq \emptyset$  and for each x let  $X := \text{diag}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)$ . Show that the two following statements are **equivalent**:

- (a) rank  $(AX) = m \quad \forall x \in \Omega$
- (b) b cannot be expressed as nonnegative linear combination of m-1 or fewer columns of A.
- Hint: The matrix AX is comprised of positively-scaled columns of A and columns of zeros.

3. Let P(x) denote the pure network flow problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{x} & cx\\ \text{s.t.} & Ax = b\\ & 0 \le x \le u, \end{array}$$

where A is a node-arc incidence matrix. Suppose that  $\bar{x}$  is a BFS (basic feasible solution) of P(x) and that  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  correspond to two pivot-eligible arcs (relative to  $\bar{x}$ ).

- (a) State conditions under which  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  can be "simultaneously" (i.e. in parallel) brought into the basis, producing the same new primal BFS that would result if they were brought in sequentially (in either order).
- (b) State corresponding conditions for the <u>dual</u> variable updates associated with  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ .
- (c) Give a <u>numerical</u> example in which the conditions of part (a) are satisfied and the conditions of part (b) are violated.
- 4. Let k(s) be a "separation counter" defined by

$$k(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s < \delta \\ 1 & \text{if } s \ge \delta \end{cases}$$

where  $\delta$  is a given <u>positive</u> constant. Formulate as a <u>mixed integer</u> linear program the following pattern separation problem:

$$\max_{\substack{c,\alpha,s,t\\c,\alpha,s,t}} \sum_{i=1}^{p} k(s_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} k(t_i)$$
  
s.t.  $cx_i - \alpha \ge s_i$   $(i = 1, \dots, p)$   
 $cy_i - \alpha \le -t_i$   $(i = 1, \dots, p)$   
 $\|c\|_{\infty} \le 1$ 

where  $x_1, \ldots, x_p$  and  $y_1, \ldots, y_p$  are given sets of points in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; and c (a row vector),  $\alpha$ ,  $s = (s_1, \ldots, s_p)$ , and  $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_p)$  are unknowns. Be sure to define any constants (which may depend on the  $x_i$  and  $y_i$ ) used in the formulation. (Note: Without loss of generality assume:  $s_i \leq \delta$ ,  $t_i \leq \delta$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, p$ .)

- 5. Consider the problem  $\min_{x\geq 0} f(x)$  where  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable and convex on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Assume that a solution  $\bar{x}$  exists. For  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$  define  $((z)_+)_i = \max\{z_i, 0\}, i = 1, \ldots, n$ .
  - (a) Suppose that for some  $\hat{x} \ge 0$ ,  $\nabla f(\hat{x}) > 0$ . Find an upper bound on  $\|\bar{x}\|_1$  in terms of  $\hat{x}$  and  $\nabla f(\hat{x})$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_1$  denotes the 1-norm.
  - (b) Suppose, in addition, that f has a Lipschitz-continuous gradient, from which you can assume that for some number L > 0:

$$L \|y - x\|^{2} \ge \left(\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x)\right)(y - x) \ge \frac{1}{L} \|\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x)\|^{2}$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the 2-norm. Obtain for any  $x \ge 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , an upper bound on  $\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(\bar{x})\|$  in terms of L,  $\hat{x}$  and the quantities,  $x\nabla f(x)$ ,  $(-\nabla f(x))_+$ . (The last 2 quantities measure the violations by  $x \ge 0$  of the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions for the problem).

6. Consider the proximal point algorithm defined by

$$x^{k+1} = \arg\min_{x \in X} \left( f(x) + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|x - x^k\|^2 \right)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the 2-norm,  $\gamma > 0$ , f is differentiable and convex on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , X is a convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Define

$$\bar{X} := \arg\min_{x \in X} f(x) := \text{ set of minimizers of } f \text{ on } X$$

Suppose that for some  $k, x^k \in \overline{X}$ . Prove that  $x^k = P(x^{k-1}|\overline{X})$  where  $P(x|\overline{X}) = \arg\min_{y\in\overline{X}} ||x-y||$ .

Hint: You may want to use the fact that:

$$z = P(x|\bar{X}) \Leftrightarrow \langle x - z, \ y - z \rangle \le 0 \quad \forall y \in \bar{X}$$

- 7. Let the function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  have a Lipschitz continuous gradient on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with constant L. You are given a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and a direction vector  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\nabla f(x)p < 0$  and  $\|p\| = 1$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the 2-norm.
  - (a) For what interval of  $\lambda$  can you guarantee that  $f(x + \lambda p) < f(x)$ ? Establish your claim.
  - (b) What specific value of  $\lambda$  will give you the biggest guaranteed decrease in f? Establish your claim.
  - (c) Suppose  $p = -\nabla f(x)/||\nabla f(x)||$ . What can you say about each accumulation point  $\bar{x}$  of the sequence  $\{x^i\}$  where  $x^{i+1} = x^i + \lambda^i p^i$ , and  $\lambda^i$  is chosen according to part (b)? Establish your claim assuming that  $\nabla f(x^i) \neq 0$  for all i.

Hint: Assume  $f(x + \lambda p) - f(x) - \lambda \nabla f(x)p \leq \frac{L\lambda^2}{2} \|p\|^2$ 

8. Suppose f is a closed proper convex function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\rho$  is a fixed positive number. Let

$$f_{\rho}(x) = \inf_{y} g(x, y),$$

where

$$g(x,y) = f(y) + (2\rho)^{-1} ||y - x||^2.$$

- (a) Show that  $f_{\rho}$  is a convex function.
- (b) Show that the infimum in y of g(x, y) is attained at a unique point of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- Suggestion: As part of your answer for (b), consider establishing the following intermediate facts: (i)  $g(x, \cdot)$  is lower semicontinuous; (ii)  $g(x, \cdot)$  has bounded level sets; (iii)  $g(x, \cdot)$  is strictly convex.